

2021 MEG Training: M/EEG Experimental Design

28th January, 2021 @ NTU

Bo-Cheng Kuo

Department of Psychology

National Taiwan University

bckuo@ntu.edu.tw

1. A basic plan for an M/EEG study

(1) Research question (novelty? Importance?)

- How information processing is implemented in the brain
- Technology: Why MEG or EEG?

(2) Hypothesis (define mental process to examine)

- Neural hypothesis: postsynaptic potentials
- Psychological hypothesis: how a given manipulation (independent variables) should change measurements (dependent variables)

(3) Experiment (design task to manipulate that process):

- Task-positive or task-negative?
- Independent variables and dependent variables
- Between-subject or within-subject (or repeated measure)
- Tasks (Sufficient number of trials per each cell/condition)?
 - (a) Large effect: 30-60
 - (b) Medium effect: 150-200
 - (c) Small effect: 400-800
 - (d) Double with children or patients
- Trial structure and events codes
- Designs: event-related, block and resting.
 - (A) Event-related design: events are mixed (or jittered) with relatively shorter intervals (relative to fMRI design)
 - (B) Block design: frequency-tag or SSVEP (steady-state visually evoked potential)
 - (C) Resting state

(4) Data acquisition (measure M/EEG and behavioural data)

- Operating status of the system and set-up (check trigger and timing)
- Preparation of the participant (HPI, EOG, and ECG)
- General acquisition setup
 - (a) Eye movements and blinks
 - (b) ECG (or EKG)
 - (c) Head movements
 - (d) Anatomical MRI (if source localisation is part of planned analysis)

(5) Analyses, statistics and inferences (according to the design, extracting signals based on the stimulus event of interest)

- Sensor-based data analysis:
 - (a) Event-related potential or magnetic field (ERP or ERMF)
 - (b) Spectral analysis: evoked/induced oscillation (power and phase)
- Source-based data analysis:
 - (a) Source localisation for ERP/ERMFs
 - (b) Source localisation for oscillations
 - (c) Functional and effective connectivity analyses

2. Experimental design considerations

(1) What should we control?

- Stimulus properties
- Stimulus timing
- Inter-stimulus or -trial interval (randomisation)
- Whenever possible (if event-related), conditions/tasks/stimuli should be varied within blocks rather than between blocks
- Instructions
- Responses
- Noises (internal and external)
 - (a) Trial-by-trial variations
 - (b) Artifacts (eyes-closed alpha, eye blinks and movements, muscle activity, skin potentials, etc.)
 - (c) Environmental noise

(2) Combined techniques: MEG+fMRI, MEG+EEG

3. Other considerations

- (1) Participants: metal-free, large numbers (as many as possible), sleepy, training.
- (2) Amplifier and filter settings.
- (3) Experimental time: about 60 minutes (given whole session is about 1.5-2 hours).
- (4) Multiple runs with short breaks (recording limitation approximately 10 mins for a run).
- (5) Always look at the M/EEG recording throughout the experiment.
- (6) Keep participants happy. Talk to them during break.
- (7) Do a proper analysis for the first participant's data before running anyone else. Check event codes.

4. Design strategies

- (1) Keep the experiment as simple as possible
- (2) Probably need additional experiments
- (3) Focus on specific (or large) effects (e.g. ERP/ERMF or frequency band)

- (4) Use well-studied experimental manipulations
- (5) Large trial numbers, few conditions
- (6) Avoid confounds
- (7) Decide in advance the key experimental comparisons of interest

Recommendations for reading:

1. MEG: An introduction to methods, Oxford University Press, 2010
2. Luck, S. J. (2014). An introduction to the event-related potential technique. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
3. Gross et al. (2013). Good practice for conducting and reporting MEG research. *NeuroImage*, 65, 349-363.
4. Lopes da Silva (2013). EEG and MEG: Relevance to Neuroscience. *Neuron*, 80, 1112-1128.
5. Pernet et al. (2020). Issues and recommendations from the OHBM COBIDAS MEEG committee for reproducible EEG and MEG research. *Nature Neuroscience*, 23, 1473-1483.